UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/700,093	11/10/2000	Lucian Hirsch	P00-1767	1415
21171 STAAS & HAI	7590 04/09/200 LSEY LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 700	DIZ AMENIJIE NIM	ZHEN, LI B		
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2194	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/700,093	HIRSCH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Li B. Zhen	2194			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>29 Ja</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 2-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 2-31 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access	vn from consideration.  relection requirement.	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex.	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/05/2007.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	te			

Art Unit: 2194

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 2 - 31 are pending in the application.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claims 17 – 23, 29 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claim 17 and 31 recites a "communication system" comprising of an agent and a manager. The agent and manager are considered to be software entities and is functional descriptive material. However, function descriptive material is nonstatutory when claimed as descriptive material per se. When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium, it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized. Since claims 17 and 31 do not recite the agent and manager as being recorded on a computer-readable medium, the communication system is interpreted as comprising functional descriptive material per se and non statutory. See MPEP § 2106.01.

Art Unit: 2194

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 17, 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,903,568 to Tanaka et al. [hereinafter Tanaka, previously cited] in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,553,416 to Chari et al. [hereinafter Chari].
- 8. As to claim 17, Tanaka teaches the invention substantially as claimed including a communication system for processing state information [event notification; col. 7, lines 3

– 11] in a management network having a number of management levels [multilayer management system; col. 6, lines 22 – 50], comprising:

Page 4

an agent at a first management level storing state information associated therewith [lower-layer agent 107 gives an event notification N.sub.n-1 1 produced in the managed object M.sub.n-1 1; col. 10, lines 38 - 48];

a manager at a second management level above the first management level [upper-layer manager 101; col. 6, lines 22 – 50], sending a request message for performing state realignment to the agent [a lower-layer manager 106 for performing a service function in response to a request from the upper-layer manager 101, a plurality of lower-layer agents 107 for performing a service function in response to a request from the lower-layer manager 106; col. 6, lines 22 – 50 and col. 7, lines 3 – 11], the agent checking the state information with regard to deviations from a normal state [lower-layer agent 107 gives an event notification N.sub.n-1 1 produced in the managed object M.sub.n-1 1 to the lower-layer manager 106 in a step 401; col. 10, lines 45 - 48], and sending deviant state information of the agent indicating the deviations to the manager in response to the request message [Event notifications which correspond to the upper layer in the managed-object correspondence information database 105 are reported to the upper-layer agent 102 in a step 406; col. 10, line 48 – col. 11, line 18]. Although Tanaka teaches sending deviant state information of the agent, Tanaka does not specifically disclose sending only deviant state information of the agent indicating the deviations from the normal state to the manager in response to the request message.

Application/Control Number: 09/700,093

Page 5

Art Unit: 2194

However, Chari teaches a communication system for processing state information [col. 5, lines 45-51] in a management network having a number of management levels [col. 6, line 65- col. 7, line 8], sending a request message for performing state realignment to the agent [SNMP manager 108 creates an information request which is sent to the SNMP agent 128; col. 6, line 65- col. 7, line 8], and sending only deviant state information of the agent indicating the deviations from the normal state to the manager in response to the request message [alert type reports the number of a temperature sensor (coolingSensorNumber) that detected a "normal" to "warning" transition; col. 10, lines 1-20].

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Tanaka to incorporate the features of Chari because this avoids unwanted disruptions with alerts indicating minor malfunction and allows the user to select the alerts he or she personally believes are important [col. 14, lines 6 – 17 of Chari].

9. As to claim 30, Tanaka as modified teaches a method for processing state information [event notification; col. 7, lines 3 – 11 of Tanaka] in a communication system by way of a management network having a number of management levels [multilayer management system; col. 6, lines 22 – 50 of Tanaka], comprising:

Art Unit: 2194

storing, at an agent of a first management level, state information associated with the agent [lower-layer agent 107 gives an event notification N.sub.n-1 1 produced in the managed object M.sub.n-1 1; col. 10, lines 38 - 48 of Tanaka];

sending, to the agent from a manager at a second management level [upper-layer manager 101; col. 6, lines 22 – 50 of Tanaka] above the first management level, a request message for performing state realignment [a lower-layer manager 106 for performing a service function in response to a request from the upper-layer manager 101, a plurality of lower-layer agents 107 for performing a service function in response to a request from the lower-layer manager 106; col. 6, lines 22 – 50 of Tanaka and col. 7, lines 3 – 11 of Tanaka];

comparing by the agent, the state information previously stored by the agent for deviation from a normal state [lower-layer agent 107 gives an event notification N.sub.n-1 1 produced in the managed object M.sub.n-1 1 to the lower-layer manager 106 in a step 401; col. 10, lines 45 - 48 of Tanaka]; and

sending, by the agent to the manager in response to the request message, only deviant state information indicating deviation from the normal state of the state information previously stored by the agent [alert type reports the number of a temperature sensor (coolingSensorNumber) that detected a "normal" to "warning" transition; col. 10, lines 1 – 20 of Chari].

Art Unit: 2194

10. As to claim 31, Tanaka as modified teaches a communication system [multilayer management system; col. 6, lines 22 – 50 of Tanaka] comprising:

an agent of a first management level that stores a state information associated with the agent [lower-layer agent 107 gives an event notification N.sub.n-1 1 produced in the managed object M.sub.n-1 1; col. 10, lines 38 - 48 of Tanaka];

a manager at a second management level [upper-layer manager 101; col. 6, lines 22 – 50 of Tanaka] that sends a request message for performing state realignment to the agent [a lower-layer manager 106 for performing a service function in response to a request from the upper-layer manager 101, a plurality of lower-layer agents 107 for performing a service function in response to a request from the lower-layer manager 106; col. 6, lines 22 – 50 of Tanaka and col. 7, lines 3 – 11 of Tanaka]; wherein

the agent compares the state information previously stored by the agent for deviation form a normal state [lower-layer agent 107 gives an event notification N.sub.n-1 1 produced in the managed object M.sub.n-1 1 to the lower-layer manager 106 in a step 401; col. 10, lines 45 - 48 of Tanaka] and sends deviant state information of the agent indicating the deviations from the normal state to the manager only in response to the request [alert type reports the number of a temperature sensor (coolingSensorNumber) that detected a "normal" to "warning" transition; col. 10, lines 1 – 20 of Chari].

Art Unit: 2194

11. Claims 2 – 16 and 18 – 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka and Chari further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,404,743 to

Meandzija [previously cited].

12. As to claim 2, Tanaka as modified does not specifically teach an administrative

state.

However, Meandzija teaches utilizing state attributes selected from the group consisting of an operational state [operational state], an administrative state [an event forwarding discrimination group, which includes an administrative state, an operational state; column 11, lines 38 – 45] and a usage state [usage state 420; column 12, lines 29 – 36] as state information.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply the teaching of utilizing administrative state as state information as taught by Meandzija to the invention of Tanaka and Chari because administrative state can be set by a manager and used to administratively prohibit an agent from use and in conjunction with a community string, the administrative state can be used for concurrency control [col. 12, lines 8 – 12 of Meandzija].

13. As to claim 3, Tanaka as modified teaches the normal state is defined by values for the state attributes [state values and state transitions are as defined in the ITU-T X.731 standard; column 11, line 65 – column 13, line 33 of Meandzija] selected from the

Application/Control Number: 09/700,093

Art Unit: 2194

group consisting of an operational state, an administrative state, a usage state, an unknown state, an alarm status [value defined for the alarm status in the X.731 standard is a set of enumerated values; column 12, lines 54 – 65 of Meandzija], and an available status [value defined for the availability status in the X.731 standard is a set of enumerated values; column 12, line 65 – column 13, line 9 of Meandzija].

Page 9

- 14. As to claim 4, Tanaka as modified teaches utilizing state attributes for characterizing an operational readiness [operational state 415 describes the operational state of the unit represented by the agent/subagent; column 12, lines 13 28 of Meandzija], manageability [administrative state 410 describes the administrative state of the unit represented by the agent/subagent; column 11, line 65 column 12, line 2 of Meandzija] and use of a resource [availability status 435 describes-the availability status of the unit represented by the agent/subagent; column 12, line 65 column 13, line 9 of Meandzija] supported by the agent in the communication system as state information.
- 15. As to claim 5, Tanaka as modified teaches utilizing status attributes, which specify for a resource supported by the agent in the communication system whether it is in an unknown state [unknown status describes the unknown status of the unit represented by the agent/subagent; column 13, lines 27 34 of Meandzija], in an alarmed state [alarm status 430 describes the alarm status of the unit represented by the agent/subagent; column 12, lines 54 65 of Meandzija] or in a state of availability

Art Unit: 2194

[availability status 435 describes-the availability status of the unit represented by the agent/subagent; column 12, line 65 – column 13, line 9 of Meandzija], as state information.

- 16. As to claim 6, Tanaka as modified teaches sending by the manager in the request message a correlation information item for a correlation of the respective request with messages containing changed state information received by the agent [Event Forwarding Discriminator (EFD) Group 530 comprises EFD configuration information defining what types of events an EFD will transform into notifications, at what times of day it will do so, and to which managers it will send the notifications to; column 13, lines 48 55 of Meandzija].
- 17. As to claim 7, Tanaka as modified teaches sending by the agent in a message for starting the state realignment, a correlation information item for correlating the messages containing changed state information subsequently sent with the state realignment started in each case [once the agent generates an event as specified in the Event table 515, it checks an EFD Table 535 to find an EFD that matches that event and specifies what kind of notification is to be generated, and to which manager that notification is to be sent; column 14, lines 8 15 of Meandzija].

Art Unit: 2194

18. As to claim 8, Tanaka as modified teaches sending the correlation information generated by the agent in the message or messages containing the changed state information [generating the event at the agent and communicating a notification regarding the event from the agent to the management station via the network; column 4, lines 55 – 65 of Meandzija].

- 19. As to claim 9, Tanaka as modified teaches sending by the manager a parameter to the agent and controlling the state realignment in dependence on the parameter [event information also defines EFD information that defines pre-conditions for communicating a notification of an event from the agent 230 to the management station 210 via the network 160; column 10, lines 57 67 of Meandzija].
- 20. As to claim 10, Tanaka as modified teaches sending by the manager a parameter and automatically initiating the state realignment [automatic schedule] by the agent utilizing the parameter [the agent may have an automatic schedule which defines time periods in which a notification may be provided for certain events; column 6, lines 13 21 of Meandzija].
- 21. As to claims 11 and 12, Tanaka as modified teaches providing a parameter by the manager with a parameter value which specifies a starting time [start time] and end time [stop time] for the automatic state realignment [scheduling function 540 includes

Art Unit: 2194

specifications of a daily start and stop time and a weekly mask specifying when the EFD changes availability status from off-duty to available; column 14, lines 16 – 33 of Meandzija].

- 22. As to claim 13, Tanaka as modified teaches providing by the manager a parameter with a parameter value which specifies a time interval [time periods] for a repetition of the automatic state realignment [the agent may have an automatic schedule which defines time periods in which a notification may be provided for certain events; column 6, lines 13 21 of Meandzija].
- 23. As to claim 14, Tanaka as modified teaches providing by the manager a parameter with a parameter value which characterizes resources for which changed state information [specifies what type of notification] must be transmitted by the agent [Each EFD specifies what type of notification is to be sent for an event that has occurred in the agent; column 13, lines 55 67 of Meandzija].
- 24. As to claim 15, Tanaka as modified teaches providing, by the manager, a parameter [control status] with a parameter value that permits interruption [suspended] of a running state realignment [control status describes the control status of the unit represented by the agent/subagent with the possible values of subjectToTest,

Art Unit: 2194

partLocked, reservedToTest, suspended, and free; column 13, lines 8 – 19 of Meandzija].

- 25. As to claim 16, Tanaka as modified teaches sending, by the manager, the parameter to the agent in the request message [events processing module 224 is used to provide event information that is communicated to the agent to define pre-conditions for the agent to generate an event; column 10, lines 57 67 of Meandzija].
- 26. As to claim 24, Tanaka as modified teaches utilizing state attributes selected from the group consisting of an unknown state [unknown status describes the unknown status of the unit represented by the agent/subagent; column 13, lines 27 34 of Meandzija], an alarm status [alarm status 430 describes the alarm status of the unit represented by the agent/subagent; column 12, lines 54 65 of Meandzija], and an available status [availability status 435 describes-the availability status of the unit represented by the agent/subagent; column 12, line 65 column 13, line 9 of Meandzija] as state information.
- 27. As to claims 18 23 and 29, these are system claims that correspond to method claims 2 5, 9, 10 and 24; note the rejection to claims 2 5, 9, 10 and 24 above, which also meets these system claims.

Art Unit: 2194

28. As to claims 25 – 28, these are rejected for the same reasons as claim 19 and 14

- 16 above.

Conclusion

29. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Patent No. 6,182,157 to Schlener et al. discloses an alarm monitoring

apparatus that allows a user of a management station to dynamically create and

configure SNMP traps.

**CONTACT INFORMATION** 

30. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Li B. Zhen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3768.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 8:30am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571)272-3756. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2194

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Li B. Zhen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2194

/Li B. Zhen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2194